

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/912,414		07/26/2001	Rolf Muller	620-151	4579
23117	7590	01/05/2004		EXAM	INER
NIXON & V		-		MCKELVEY, 1	ERRY ALAN
8TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO	N, VA	22201-4714		1636	

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3	1
9	7

Offic Action Summary

Application N .	Applicant(s)	O.
09/912,414	MULLER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Terry A. McKelvey	1636	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Peri df rR ply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely

Stat	l	ı	S	
			_	_

- If NC - Failu - Any r	period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for rep	statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. oly will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). s after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>17 July 2003</u> .
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)	• •	n for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is tice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disp siti	ion of Claims	
4)🖾	Claim(s) 14-31 is/are pending in the	e application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 26-31 is/	are withdrawn from consideration.
5)⊠	Claim(s) 14,15,18,20-22,24 and 2	is/are allowed.
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16,17,19 and 23</u> is/are re	jected.
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8)	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election requirement.
Application	ion Papers	
9)[The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any ob	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi	ng the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Pri rity t	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
a)	All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priorical Certified copies of the priorical Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	y documents have been received. y documents have been received in Application No s of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
		ion for a list of the certified copies not received. for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
s 3	ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78.	led in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.
		anguage provisional application has been received.
1 4) <i>F</i>	acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific

Attachment(s)

· —	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) 🔲	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.

6)	Ш	Ot	her:
----	---	----	------

reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 26-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 14, filed 12/3/02.

Claim Objections

Claims 16, 19, and 23 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. This is a new objection.

Claim 16 depends on claim 15, but although it partly limits the subject matter of claim 15 to a narrower set of sequences encompassed by claim 15 (SEQ ID NO:2), claim 16 also is drawn to fragments of SEQ ID NO:2, which encompasses subject matter not encompassed by claim 15. Thus, claim 16 does not properly further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Art Unit: 1636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 16, 17, 19, and 23 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barrett et al (U.S. Patent
No. 5,728,802). This is a new rejection.

Art Unit: 1636

Barrett et al teach ELAM-1 peptide mimetic SEQ ID NO:119, which is an isolated polypeptide consisting of 10 amino acids which comprise WVRW, which is a four amino acid fragment of SEQ ID NO:2, which because of its length, would be expected to bind to an EF2 DNA-binding site like those claimed in claim 17, and with the affinity as claimed in claim 19 (columns 10-13) because it is a substantial fragment of the sequence as claimed. See the attached sequence comparison. A composition comprising the polypeptide in association with a carrier is also taught (column 33). Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. In re Best, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). "When the PTO shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not." In re Spada, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Claims 16, 17, 19, and 23 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Renschler et al (WO 94/18345). This is a new rejection.

Art Unit: 1636

Renschler et al teach an isolated antiproliferative polypeptide (page 45) consisting of 8 amino acids which comprises WYRWH, which is a three amino acid fragment of SEQ ID NO:2 (and contains two amino acid substitutions of SEQ ID NO:2), which because of its length, would be expected to bind to an EF2 DNA-binding site like those claimed in claim 17, and with the affinity as claimed in claim 19 (columns 10-13) because it is a substantial fragment of the sequence as claimed. See the attached sequence comparison. A composition comprising the polypeptide in association with a carrier is also taught (claim 17). Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. In re Best, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). "When the PTO shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not." In re Spada, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14-15, 18, 20-22, 24-25 are allowed.

Art Unit: 1636

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The closest art, Carlone et al (WO 94/45121) teaches the isolated polypeptide of claim 14, etc (the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:5), but given the filing and publication date, it does not constitute prior art by itself.

Conclusion

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1636 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone number for the Group is 703-872-9306. NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning rejections or other major issues in this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terry A. McKelvey whose telephone number is (703) 305-7213 until January 14, 2004, and (571) 272-0775 after January 14, 2004. The examiner can normally be reached on

Art Unit: 1636

Monday through Friday, except for Wednesdays, from about 7:30 AM to about 6:00 PM. A phone message left at this number will be responded to as soon as possible (i.e., shortly after the examiner returns to his office).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Remy Yucel can be reached on (703) 305-1998.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Terry A. McKelvey, Ph.D.

Teny a Mitche

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1636

December 28, 2003